



13300 168TH AVENUE GRAND HAVEN, MI 49417 JUN 25 02

MA ?

HON. MICHAEL POWELL CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 445 12TH ST. s.w. ROOM a44 WASHINGTON, DC 20554

haldlim dalalalalalalal

No of Copies rec'd

2-5

13300 168TH AVE

GRAND HAVEN, MICH. 49417 Fax No. 616/842-9419 616/842-5988

Hon. Michael Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12" St. S.W. Room 844 Washington, DC 20554

Re: FCC Declaratory Ruling, GN Docket NO. 00-185, CS Docket No. 02-52

(the "FC Decision"); Relationship of Internet Services and Cable

Franchise Fees

Dear Chairman Powell:

On Behalf of the Charter Township of Grand Haven, we wish to register strong concern on the unprecedented action you have taken in your March decision and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on cable modems. In one order you have attempted to usurp both the powers of both Congress and state and local governments and violate the 11th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, all in a manner detrimental to consumers. We ask that you reconsider and withdraw from these actions.

Your order usurps the powers of Congress by purporting to take action (reclassifying cable modem service as an information service) which only Congress can do. This is evident from the so-called Tauzin-Dingell Bill which is making its way through Congress. Your order attempts to do what Congress in the Tauzin-Dingell Bill believed required legislation. This is stark evidence that your order is improper.

Your order also improperly infringes on the authority of state and local governments by indicating that cable companies can use their lines for cable modem service (or, apparently, other services) without additional local approval or compensation. The **US** Supreme Court has long ruled that the Fifth Amendment to the US Constitution (which prevents the government from taking property without compensation) protects state and local units of government just as much as it protects private property. We do not believe that you can take a permission granted a company to construct a line in certain areas for one purpose and then allow them to expand their lines anywhere through out the city for other purposes without new agreements and appropriate compensation.

• The Order also violates state's rights and the 11th Amendment especially in light of the **U.S.** Supreme Court's recent broad interpretation of state sovereignty and immunization of states against agency action. This decision and its underlying principles

ORIGINAL

are directly applicable here where you are acting at the request of providers and are disregarding in many instances state constitutional provisions which specifically place the responsibility for rights of way with local units of government.

Finally, you have given short shrift to customer service for people having problems with cable modem service. One of the major **areas** of complaints that our township receives regarding'the cable company is from residents with problems with cable modem services. You have now indicated, apparently, that we should not be involved in this.

Because you apparently believe that only you have jurisdiction over cable modem matters, do you now intend that you and your staff will handle cable modem customer service matters? If so, the FCC will need to add hundreds **of** people to handle complaints from citizens across the country that until now have been handled **by** townships such as ours.

• We therefore strongly suggest that you withdraw you March order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in this matter.

Very truly yours

William Cargo

Township Superintendent

cc: Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

> Commissioner Michael J. Copps Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

> Commissioner Kevin J. Martin Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

> Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554